1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 TONAL LAMAR CARD, CASE NO. C24-5932 BHS 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 DAVID W. CHRISTEL, 11 Defendant. 12 13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Theresa L. Fricke's Report and Recommendation (R&R), Dkt. 4, recommending the Court deny pro se 14 15 plaintiff Tony Card's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, supported by his 16 proposed complaint, Dkt. 1, and dismiss the case with prejudice and without leave to amend as facially frivolous. 17 A district court "shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report 18 19 or specified proposed finding or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (emphasis added); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). It must 20 modify or set aside any portion of the order that is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. 21 Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended 22

1	disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with
2	instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).
3	The Court must "review the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations de
4	novo if objection is made, but not otherwise." United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d
5	1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (citing § 636(b)(1)(C)). A proper objection requires "specific
6	written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." Fed. R. Civ. P.
7	72(b)(2).
8	Card has not objected to the R&R, and its recommended disposition of his pending
9	motion and claim is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. The R&R is therefore
10	ADOPTED . Card's <i>in forma pauperis</i> application is DENIED , and this matter is
11	DISMISSED with prejudice and without leave to amend.
12	The Clerk shall enter a JUDGMENT and close the case.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated this 7th day of January, 2025.
15	l l
16	Doy \ South
17	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	